

UNIVERSITATEA „1 DECEMBRIE 1918” DIN ALBA IULIA
FACULTATEA DE ISTORIE LITERE ȘI ȘTIINȚE ALE EDUCAȚIEI
ȘCOALA DOCTORALA ISTORIE
DOMENIUL ARHEOLOGIE

ABSTRACT OF THE DOCTORAL THESIS

Thesis supervisor
Prof.univ.dr. Mihai Gligor

PhD Candidate
Anamaria Raluca Cârstea (Elekes)

ALBA IULIA
2025

UNIVERSITATEA „1 DECEMBRIE 1918” DIN ALBA IULIA
FACULTATEA DE ISTORIE LITERE ȘI ȘTIINȚE ALE EDUCAȚIEI
ȘCOALA DOCTORALA ISTORIE
DOMENIUL ARHEOLOGIE

**CULTIC VERSUS DOMESTIC IN NEOLITHIC CERAMICS
FROM THE SIVANIAN BASIN**

Thesis supervisor
Prof.univ.dr. Mihai Gligor

PhD Candidate
Anamaria Raluca Cârstea (Elekes)

ALBA IULIA
2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	5
CHAPTER I. OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY	
I.1. Objectives of the research	7
I.2. Scientific research methodology	8
CHAPTER II. GEOGRAPHIC SETTINGS AND ELEMENTS OF PALEOECONOMICS	
II.1. Current characteristics of the geographical environment	15
II.2. Data on the environment and natural resources	17
II.3. Elements of paleoeconomics	19
CHAPTER III. HISTORY AND CURRENT STADE OF RESEARCH	
III.1. Starčevo-Criș Culture.....	23
III.2. Pișcolt Cultural Group.....	25
III.3. <i>Lumea Nouă</i> type discoveries.....	26
III.4. Suplacu type discoveries.....	26
III.5. Herpály Culture.....	28
CHAPTER IV. HABITAT	
IV.1. Starčevo-Criș Culture.....	30
IV.2. Pișcolt Cultural Group.....	33
IV.3. <i>Lumea Nouă</i> type discoveries.....	34
IV.4. Suplacu type discoveries.....	35
IV.5. Herpály Culture.....	37
CHAPTER V. MATERIAL CULTURE - POTTERY	
V.1. Starčevo-Criș Culture.....	39
V.2. Pișcolt Cultural Group.....	44
V.3. <i>Lumea Nouă</i> type discoveries.....	46
V.4. Suplacu type discoveries.....	48
V.5. Herpály Culture.....	54
CHAPTER VI. COMPARATIVE TYPOLOGICAL ANALYSIS AND STABLISHMENT OF METRIC PARAMETERS ON POTTERY FROM DIFFERENT FEATURES FROM THE SILVANIAN BASIN	
VI.1. Comparative typological analysis and establishment of metric parameters on pottery from different features from Porț <i>Corău</i> archaeological site.....	58
VI.2. Comparative typological analysis and establishment of metric parameters on	

pottery from different features from Pericei <i>Keller Tag</i> archaeological site.....	81
VI.3.Comparative typological analysis and establishment of metric parameters on pottery from different features from „Zăuan ”Dâmbul Spânzuraților” archaeological site.	86
CHAPTER VII. ARCHEOMETRIC ANALYSIS ON NEOLITHIC POTTERY FROM THE SILVANIAN BASIN	
VII.1. Previous results of archeometric methods în the study of Neolithic and Eneolithic pottery.....	91
VII.2. Materials and features investigated from Porț Corău archaeological site.....	94
VII.2.1.Materials and methods.....	96
VII.2.2. MO, SEM,EDX, FTIR investigations.....	99
VII.2.3. Elemental content of clays.....	111
VII.3. Materials and features investigated from Pericei <i>Keller Tag</i> archaeological site.....	114
VII.3.1. Materials and methods.....	114
VII.3.2.MO, SEM,EDX, FTIR investigations.	116
VII. 3.3. GC-MS investigations.....	142
VII.4. Materials and features investigated from Zăuan <i>Dâmbul Spânzuraților</i> archaeological site.....	146
VII.4.1. Materials and methods.....	146
VII.4.2. MO, SEM,EDX, FTIR investigations.....	151
VI.4.3. Elemental content of clays.....	159
VII.5.Main results of the archeometric investigations.....	166
VIII.CONCLUSIONS.....	169
ABREVIATIONS.....	175
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	176
ANNEXES	

INTRODUCTION

In this study our aim is to gain as much knowledge as possible about the Neolithic communities in the Sylvania Basin area by studying ceramic pieces discovered in certain stratigraphic situations, dwellings/graves or places considered ritual. Through technical descriptions, observations on the way these types of pieces were made, their shapes, and their decoration, the aim is to identify the function they had, the purpose for which they were created and in what purposes were they used.

Information regarding the typology, manufacturing technique, decoration, household or common ceramics compared to ritual ceramics, deposited as funerary inventory, but especially their interpretation will come in an attempt to unravel some aspects related to funeral rites and rituals but also to social life revealed by the discoveries in this geographical area.

There are researches on the ceramics discovered during the archaeological excavations carried out in this area, which aimed to present the objectives and stratigraphy in general, the type of habitat, the material and spiritual culture, without interpreting, analyzing and comparing them through the point of view of the archaeological context and their functionality. In this sense, we believe that this approach can be considered a step forward in bringing to light the civilization created by the human communities that lived in this area, the Sylvania depression, benefiting from research with important results for the Neolithic.

OBJECTIVES AND STRUCTURE

The objective of the research is to gain knowledge about the Neolithic communities in the Sylvania depression area by studying ceramic pieces discovered in certain stratigraphic situations, dwellings/graves or places considered ritual. Through technical descriptions, observations on the way these types of pieces were made, their shapes, and their decoration, the aim is to identify the function they had, the purpose for which they were created and used.

In attempting to formally and functionally assign the ceramics from the domestic complexes or funerary/ritual complexes identified within the analyzed sites, we started from several principles stated in the specialized literature

- each vessel has a unique "life" cycle determined by function, manufacturing method, context of use, cost. In this way, we can talk about use, reuse, recycling, primary contexts (e.g. cooking), secondary contexts (funeral inventory).

-a distinction must be made between intention and use, the assumed or potential function does not show how the vessel was used, the intention, the technical choice being the attribute of the potter (functionality is given by the form), the "beneficiary" is the one who determines the use in relation to necessity.

-form is one of the factors considered in the context of discussions about function - capacity, stability, access to content, sustainability in transportation, are just a few examples.

-metric parameters, volume, height, diameter are useful in comparative analyses between complexes or typologies. Formal and morphological attributes, the attention paid to manufacturing (degreaser, wall thickness/thickness, firing) considered clear indicators of function, are the most present in the descriptions of ceramic vessels, elements with which one can operate in carrying out exhaustive studies.

-chemical analyses of residues, traces of wear, etc., give the best indications about the use of a vessel, at least at a given time.

Information regarding the manufacturing technique, decoration, household or common ceramics compared to ritual ceramics, deposited as funerary inventory, but especially their interpretation will come in an attempt to unravel aspects related to funeral rites and rituals but also to social life revealed by the discoveries in this geographical area.

All these results were complemented with results of archaeometric analyses to identify the materials used in the ceramic production process to provide scientifically proven information regarding the profile of the studied materials.

The paper is structured in 6 chapters, each containing 3 to 4 subchapters. The first part describes the geographical layout and the history of the research, followed by a description of the habitat of the settlements researched for the main cultures and cultural groups in the area. In Chapter V, the ceramics from the presented sites are described and analyzed from a typological, technological and decorative point of view, and a comparative typological analysis is carried out and the metric parameters of the pieces from the 3 analyzed sites are established. The last part of the study presents the results of archaeometric analyses carried out on batches of ceramic fragments and clay samples from the same three sites Zăuan - Dâmbul Spânzuraților, Poț - Corău and Pericei - Keller Tag, samples that were selected from complexes with different characters. Analyses carried out by optical microscopy, SEM-EDS, ATR-FTIR, ICP-MS, OM, GC-MS resulted in the identification of the elemental composition and the technological process of making the ceramics.

For each culture considered in the paper, only the main archeological sites that have been described. For each site considered in the paper, the history and current state of

research are presented without describing in detail each research campaign, a subject that is not the subject of our study and is not relevant to the results of the investigations proposed in the study. The current state and history of research are only referred to in the specialized literature.

All the archaeological remains presented in this work come from settlements. The study of the settlements was done by following the following elements: the location of the sites, the research campaigns, the archaeological features, artifacts discovered in certain features. Most archaeological investigations were limited to surface research, sometimes followed by surveys, preventive excavations and in fewer cases, systematic excavations. In the absence of systematic excavations, a concrete and correct image cannot be formed regarding the internal organization of the settlements, the layout of the residential complexes, the relationship between the dwellings and the annexed buildings, the possible existence of well-defined areas within the settlements, with a distinct role: religious, economic, therefore in this study we took into analysis only the sites that benefited from extensive research.

The main criteria for analyzing the ceramics were, first of all, the certainty of the features of the archaeological material, that was assigned from the excavation documentation. Only the typologically and stylistically identifiable pieces were analyzed, of which a sufficient percentage was preserved to be able to determine metric parameters. At this stage, the ceramic inventory was analyzed macroscopically, in order to establish the morphological, structural properties and the evaluations of the dimensions of the pieces for three of the most important sites as a result of the research but also in terms of scale, those from Zăuan – „Dâmbul Spânzuraților”, Poșt - „Corău” and Pericei – „Keller Tag”. Based on the dimensions of the vessels, their capacities were calculated, which, together with the structural characteristics, shape, decoration, help us interpret their functionality.

We considered it necessary to mention the pieces identified in the studied complexes and their characteristics: type, paste, category, decoration, even if metric parameters could not be established for them, not having preserved a complete profile, this information helping us to create a more complex and faithful picture of reality.

For complexes where the ceramic material could not be completed and dimensions could not be calculated, a statistical analysis was performed by material type.

Because shapes are also a real indicator of the use of the piece, capacity, stability, access to content, sustainability in transport, are just a few examples. Metric parameters, volume, height, diameter are useful in comparative analyses between complexes or typologies. Formal and morphological attributes are considered clear indicators of function,

which is why all pieces whose dimensions could be appreciated were analyzed from this point of view.

As for the particularly elegant decoration, meticulously made by painting and present on most ceramic categories and shapes, it is an intervention on the vessel that does not influence the basic shape of the object nor its functionality, but most of the time it is made in accordance with its practical function and these pieces certainly had an important aesthetic function, a decoration that was described and analyzed in the paper in relation to the typology of the pieces but also to the context of the discovery.

Physical characteristics: hardness, external appearance, density, firing characteristics and non-plastic inclusions, these constitute the most reliable method for distinguishing different types of paste. The attention paid to paste inclusions is justified by the fact that their type, frequency, size and degree of sorting constitute indicators of the degree of specialization of the ceramic manufacturing process and of the possible use of the vessel.

For all cultural phenomena present in the area under consideration, we present the typological, stylistic, technological characteristics and specific decorations for the ceramics from the identified sites. We did not make a detailed description of the stratigraphy of the habitat description, but only of the complexes from which the materials subjected to analysis come.

The last part of the study presents the results of archaeometric analyses carried out on batches of ceramic fragments and clay samples from the same three archeological sites Zăuan „Dâmbul Spânzuraților”, Poșt „Corău” and Pericei „Keller Tag”, samples that were selected from complexes with different characters. Analyses carried out by optical microscopy, SEM-EDS, ATR-FTIR, ICP-MS, OM, GC-MS resulted in the identification of the elemental composition and the technological process of making the ceramics. The results proved the local origin of the raw material used in the making of the vessels.

Following the comparison of the results of the archeometric analyses, no major differences can be observed between the ceramics designated as funerary inventory and the everyday vessels of the communities within the same sites approached, but differences were noted between the materials from different sites.

CONCLUSIONS

Looking at the material evidence of the studied communities as a whole, and I am referring here to ceramics, the production technique: degreaser, paste, technique, decoration,

firing, we can state that there is a strong connection between the quality of the material and the geomorphological conditions of the area.

Thus, in addition to the usual degreaser that appears in all settlements in the area, sand, crushed shards, there are also elements specific to the area such as crushed limestone, at Bocșa, a lime-burning area, which gives a specific appearance to the vessels. At Pericei and especially at Poț, pieces of mica appear in the ceramic paste. Also, as it resulted from the analyses carried out not only for the ceramic material but also for the decorative elements, local soil materials (Fe-Mn) were used, indigenous clay and mineral pigments or bitumen that can be found in the area.

In the last part of the study we present the results of the archaeometric analyses carried out on batches of ceramic fragments and clay samples from three sites Zăuan – “Dâmbul Spânzuraților”, Poț - “Corău” and Pericei – “Keller Tag”, samples that were selected from complexes with different characters and that are specific to the period to which they belong.

Analyses carried out by optical microscopy, SEM-EDS (energy dispersive spectroscopy coupled with scanning electron microscopy), ATR-FTIR (Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy - attenuated total reflection), ICP-MS (inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry), GC-MS (gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry) resulted in detailed knowledge of the technology of making and decorating ceramics. Results that complement the information from the latest research carried out on the same type of ceramics.

Although a small percentage, in relation to the ceramic material from the researched sites, the number of complete ceramic forms can provide some preliminary data on the functionality of the vessels. Thus, starting from the assumption that the vessels in the funerary context are most intimately related to the person, and should be suitable for individual consumption, we compared the type of vessel and their capacity, the conclusion being that the vessels deposited in the graves, even if of different types, cups, bowls, footed vessels, pots, forms that are also found in domestic contexts, pits, dwellings have dimensions and volumes.

Most of the pieces collected from the utilitarian complexes indicate bowls with hemispherical, truncated conical bodies or pots of various sizes, even "amphorae" with slightly larger capacities than what we find in the funerary complexes. In both types of complexes, cups are found in a higher proportion than other types.

From a structural point of view of elemental composition, firing temperature or decoration, no differences can be observed between the ceramics designated as funerary inventory and the everyday vessels of the communities within the same site. Also, the results of archaeometric analyses have proven the local origin of the raw materials used.

The analyzed ceramic fragments compared with the clay samples taken from the site, are concrete and clear evidence that the ceramics are local, the communities here use the raw material from the area both for making the vessels and for their decoration. An observation that we also find for the Neolithic ceramics from other studied sites in the country. Regarding the technological flow practiced by the potters, we have reliable data that they knew closely the qualities of the clay, the modeling and firing methods in order to be able to make quality objects that correspond to daily needs and not only, a fact proven by the special aesthetic characteristics present on the studied ceramics.

Firing temperatures determined by FTIR indicated representative absorption bands for the analyzed ceramic samples as follows: <800°C, up to 950°C, for ceramics from the settlements at Porț and Pericei while for the Herpály-type communities at Zăuan this was below 600°C for both categories of ceramics designated ritual or domestic. There were no differences in firing temperatures for samples from the same site. The ceramics are unitary from this point of view for complexes designated with different roles.

Although the same IR absorption bands, corresponding to the ester groups of aldehydes and ketones in birch tar, were not identified in the color pigment samples investigated on the painted pottery from Porț "Corău" and Zăuan "Dâmbul Spânzuraților", they are present on the painted pottery from the Pericei "Keller Tag" site, a presence also proven by a quantitative GC-MS analysis where specific biomarkers of birch tar triterpenes such as: betulin, lupeol, betulinic acid and behenic acid were highlighted without observing the existence of biomarkers characteristic of several types of petroleum: terpanes, steranes, which led to the conclusion that natural bitumen is not found in the material analyzed on this batch of samples, as it does not contain substances of petroleum origin. A fact also found and confirmed in the specialized literature for the investigations carried out on the ceramics from Porț, and from neolithic archaeological sites from abroad.

We conclude, therefore, that in the case of the material used in the decoration of the vessels, the analyses identified common elements for the ceramics from Zăuan and Port, namely a high content of iron and manganese (determined by ICP-MS), results which prove that the painted ornaments were applied to the vessels using local soils and these being characterized by a high content of these elements (Mn-Fe), a situation that was also found in previous research on Eneolithic ceramics from Alba Iulia.

Regarding the morpho-physiographic and elemental composition characteristics, the results of the analyses performed on the ceramic samples revealed uniform compositions for the Neolithic ceramics from this area. No major differences were detected between them in

terms of the elemental composition of the raw material used in the manufacturing process, justifying once again the constancy and invariability of the types of ceramics from the Neolithic period.

There are also no differences in the functionality of the vessels from the same settlements, the types of vessels are the same in all types of contexts. However, there are differences in the way of making and decorating between the communities studied from the three Neolithic sites in the Sylvania basin. These practices prove once again the knowledge and skills that the communities had, the level of adaptation to the environment.

The results of the analyses performed on the ceramic samples revealed for the Neolithic ceramics from this area the unitary morpho-physiographic and elemental composition characteristics. No major differences were detected between them in terms of the elemental composition of the raw material used in the manufacturing process, justifying once again the constancy and invariability of the types of ceramics from the Neolithic period.

There are also no differences in the functionality of the vessels from the same settlements, the types of vessels are the same in all types of contexts. However, there are differences in the way of making and decorating between the communities studied from the three Neolithic sites in the Sylvania depression. These practices prove once again the knowledge and skills, the level of knowledge that the communities had, the level of adaptation to the environment and the stage of evolution for the period in question.

The scientific contribution of this study consists in the realization and interpretation of the results in order to reveal aspects of the daily life of Neolithic communities. Also, the fact that in the technological process of making and decorating ceramics, materials and methods were used that we had no knowledge of for the communities in the Sylvania depression is the element of novelty, thus for the community from Port the black material with which the ceramics were decorated proved to be made also from pigments from local soils, and not only from birch bark tar or bitumen as previous analyses in the specialized literature proved. For the sites from Zăuan and Pericea, these analyses are a premiere for the ceramics here, having so far no information related to composition, firing temperature, origin of the material.

In this case, the limits of the research are certainly the fact that only a small part of the pottery batch to which we refer is taken into account, however, the analysis undertaken provides us with significant information based on concrete evidence and scientific results related to the behavioral patterns of the Neolithic community from the Sylvania depression and indicates that ceramic vessels must be viewed and examined as multifunctional objects,

especially for a period such as prehistory in which a specific utilitarian specialization was not a reality or a priority.

The analysis carried out, even if only a small part of the pottery batch we are referring to is taken into account, provides us with significant information related to the behavioral patterns of the Neolithic community in the Silvanian Basin and shows us that ceramic vessels must be viewed and examined as multifunctional objects, especially for a period such as prehistory in which a specific utilitarian specialization was not a reality or a priority.

In the future, certainly by expanding the research, by investigating a larger batch of ceramic pieces, it will be possible to know in more detail the aspects related to the life of the Neolithic communities behind these objects.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

- Abrudan** **2004** **Abrudan, I.,** *Dealurile Sălajului – studiu de geografie comparată*, Ed. Caiete Silvane, Zalău, 2004.
- Avram et al.** **2024** **Avram, S.E., Birle, B.V.; Tudoran, L.B.; Borodi, G., Petean, I.,** *Investigation of Used Water Sediments from Ceramic Tile Fabrication. Water*, 16, 1027,2024.
- Akoglu** **2018** **Akoglu, H.,** *Users guide to correlation coefficient, Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine*, **18**(3), 91, 2018.
- Băcuet Crișan** **2000** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Noi descoperiri preistorice în județul Sălaj, în Acta MP, XXIII, I, 2000, 9-28.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2001** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Complexe neolitice cu ceramică pictată din Sălaj, în Omagiu profesorului Nicolae Gudea la 60 de ani, Zalău, BMP, IV, 2001,49-66.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2004** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Elemente de rit și ritual funerar în grupul Suplacu de Barcău, în Carpatica, 31, 2004, p.87-91.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2004a** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Burial Rites in the Neolithic in Northwest Romania, în JAME, XLVI, 2004, p.71-83.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2005** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Rituri și ritualuri funerare în neoliticul din nord-vestul României, în Marmatia, 8. 2005, 1, p.5-24.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2005a** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Considerații asupra complexelor de*

locuit de la Zăuan "Dâmbul Cimitirului" (jud. Sălaj), în C.I. Popa, G.T.Rustoiu (eds.), Omagiu profesorului Ioan andrișoiu cu prilejul împlinirii a 65 de ani. Studii și cercetări arheologice, Alba Iulia, Editura Altip, 2005, p. 225-228.

- Băcuet Crișan** **2006** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *The Starčevo-Criș settlement from Zăuan "Dâmbul Cimitirului". Old and new viewpoints, în Studii de preistorie, III, 2006, p. 99-123.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2007** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Cluj – Cheile Turzii – Complex Lumea Nouă. From general to particular – discoveries in the Șimleu Depression, în Studii de preistorie, IV, 2007, p. 67-85.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2008** **Băcuet Crișan, S., 2008,** *Neoliticul și eneoliticul timpuriu în depresiunea Șimleului, Muzeul Național Brukenthal XXIII, Editura Altip, Sibiu, 2008.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2008a** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Cultura Starčevo-Criș în Depresiunea Șimleului, Ed.Mega, Cluj-Napoca 2008.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2008b** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Descoperiri ale grupului Pișcolt în județul Sălaj, în ACTA MP, XXX, 2008, p.11-51.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2011** **Băcuet Crișan S.,** *Neoliticul în Depresiunea Șimleului: așezarea de la Șimleu Silvaniei "Tudor Vladimirescu", în Acta MP.,XXXIII, 2011, p.49-71.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2011a** **Băcuet Crișan S.,** *Suplac, Zau, Pișcolt, Herpaly...realitate sau probleme de interpretare?, în Acta MP, XXXV, 2013, p.11-46.*
- Băcuet Crișan** **2015** **Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Neolithic cremations graves and grave goods from Porț "Corău"(Sălaj County România), în, R Kogălniceanu, M.Gligor, R.Curcă, E.Stratton (eds) *Homines, Funera, Astra 2. Life beyond death in ancient times. (Romanian case studies), Preceding of th International Symposium of Funerary archaeology, Archaeopress Archaeology, 2015, p. 19-24.**
- Băcuet Crișan, Băcuet** **Băcuet Crișan, D., Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Șantierul*

- Crișan** **2001** *arheologic Pericei "Keller Tag", în Cronica Cercetărilor arheologice din România, campania 2000, Suceava, 2001, 178.*
- Băcuet Crișan, et al.** **2002** **Băcuet Crișan Sanda, Horea, Pop, Băcuet Crișan Dan,** *Șantierul arheologic Pericei "Keller Tag", în Cronica Cercetărilor arheologice din România, campania 2001, Buziaș, 2002, p.230-231.*
- Băcuet Crișan et al.** **2006** **Băcuet Crișan, D., Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Șantierul arheologic, Zalău "Dealul Lupului" în Cronica cercetărilor arheologice din România, campania 2005, Constanța, 2006, p.400-401.*
- Băcuet Crișan et al.** **2009** **Băcuet Crișan, D., Băcuet Crișan, S., Gligor, M., Bărbat, Al.,** *Șantierul arheologic Doh "Râturi/Coaste", în Cronica cercetărilor arheologice din România campania 2008, Târgoviște, 2009, p. 110.*
- Băcuet Crișan et al.** **2011** **Băcuet Crișan S., Bejinariu I., Băcuet Crișan D., Culic, D., Pop H.,** *Șantierul arheologic Porț "Corău" în Cronica cercetărilor Arheologice din România, campania 2010, Sibiu, 2011, p.220-223.Șantierul arheologic Porț "Corău" în Cronica cercetărilor Arheologice din România, campania 2010, Sibiu, 2011, p.220-223.*
- Băcuet Crișan et al.** **2012** **Băcuet Crișan S., Bejinariu I., Băcuet Crișan D., Culic, D., Pop H.,** *Șantierul arheologic Porț "Corău" în Cronica cercetărilor Arheologice din România, campania 2011, Târgu Mureș, 2012, p.245-246.*
- Băcuet Crișan et al.** **2013** **Băcuet Crișan Sanda, Bejinariu Ioan, Băcuet Crișan Dan, Culic, Dan, Pop Horea, Dunca, M.,** *Șantierul arheologic Porț "Corău" în Cronica cercetărilor Arheologice din România, campania 2012, Craiova, 2013, p.173-174.*
- Băcuet Crișan et al.** **2013a** **Băcuet Crișan Dan, Băcuet Crișan Sanda, Bejinariu Ioan,** *Aspecte de viață economică în neolitic: producția ceramică. Instalații de ars ceramica din situl de pa Porț*

- ”Corău”, în Stavilă, A., Micle, D., Cîntar, A., Floca, C., Forțiu, S.,(eds), *Arheovest I, Interdisciplinaritate în Arheologie și Istorie – In memoriam Liviu Măruia*, Szeged, JATEPress Kiadó, 2013, p.45-53.
- Băcuet Crișan** **2013b** **Băcuet Crișan, S.**, *suplac, Zau, Pișcolt, Herpaly....realitate sau probleme de interpretare?* În *Acta musei Porolissensis*, XXXV, 2013, P.11-46.
- Băcuet Crișan, Pop** **2014** **Băcuet Crișan, S., Pop, H.**, *Așezarea neolitică de la Șimleu Silvaniei – Str.Tudor Vladimirescu nr.7 (II)*, în S.Forțiu, Acîntar (eds.) *Arheovest II Interdisciplinaritate în Arheologie și Istorie In honeorem Prof. Univ. Dr.Gheorghe Lazarovici*, Szeged JATEPress Kiadó, 2014, p.33-50.
- Băcuet Crișan et al.** **2018** **Băcuet Crișan, D., Băcuet Crișan, S., Bejinariu, I., Pop, H., Cociș, H., Deac, D.**, *100 de ani de cercetări arheologice în Sălaj (1918-2018)*, ed. Mega, ed. Porolissum, Cluj-Napoca, 2018.
- Băcuet Crișan, Constantinescu** **2019** **Băcuet Crișan S., Constantinescu M.**, ”*Oameni și cioburi*”.*Mormintele neo-eneolitice descoperite în Sălaj. Rezultatele analizelor antropologice în acta Musei Porolissensis XLI*, 2019, p.9-17.
- Băcuet Crișan et al.** **2022** **Băcuet Crișan, S., Băcuet Crișan, D., Pop, D., Mișca, S. Cardoș, R., Ardelean, M., Zoltan, K., Gheorghe, O., Marian Boeru, P.,Costea, S., Zăuan**, com. Ip, jud. Sălaj Punct: Dâmbul Spânzuraților Autostrada Brașov – Târgu Mureș – Cluj – Oradea. Secțiunea 3B: Mihăiești – Suplacu de Barcău, Subsecțiunea 3B5: Nușfalău – Suplacu de Barcău - (km 66+500 - km 80+054.044), SIT 2 km. 71+860 – 72+240 IN *Cronica Cercetărilor Arheologice din România. Campania 2021*”, Oradea, 2022, p.772-774.
- Băcuet Crișan et al.** **2022a** **Băcuet Crișan, S., Gheorghe, O., Kadas Z.**, *Descoperiri funerare din așezarea eneolitică de la Zăuan Dâmbul Spânzuraților (Jud. Sălaj) în Colesniuc S. - Talmațchi G. -*

- Dumitraşcu, L. P. – Talmaţchi, C., (coord.) *Porţi deschise către Civilizaţii Tomis – Constanţa MMXXII, Centenarul Încoronării*, ed.Mega, Cluj Napoca, 2022, p.71-79.
- Băcuet Crişan** **2023** **Băcuet Crişan, S.**, *Some considerations on the Neolithic settlement at Suplacu de Barcău-Port-Corău*, în *Acta Musei Porolissensis XLV*, 2023, p.31-41.
- Băcuet Crişan, Virag** **2023** **Băcuet Crişan, S., Virag, C.**, *Consideraţii privind cronologia neoliticului mijlociu şi târziu în zona de nord-vest a României*, în *Fontes perennitatis. Studia in Honorem Magistri Nicolae Ursulescu*, Ediderunt: V. Cotiugă, F.–A. Tencariu, A. Asăndulesei, Târgovişte, 2023, p. 101–116.
- Băcuet Crişan et al.** **2023** **Băcuet Crişan, S., Băcuet Crişan, D., Bejinariu, I.**, *Şantierul arheologic de la Pericei "Keller Tag"*, în *Cronica cercetărilor arheologice din România. Campania 2022, Târgovişte*, 2023, p.613-614.
- Bejinariu, Láko** **1996** **Bejinariu, I., Láko, E.**, *Despre sondajul arheologic de la Cehei, punctul "Mesig" 1987* în *AMP,XX*, 1996, p.11-33.
- Bejinariu, Pop** **1998** **Bejinariu, I., Pop, H.**, *Săpătura arheologică de la Cehei (jud. Sălaj)*, în *Carpatica*, 5, 1998, p.90-96.
- Bejinariu et al.** **1999** **Bejinariu, I., Băcuet Crişan, S., Băcuet Crişan, D.**, *Şantierul arheologic Cehei"Mesig"*, în *cronica cercetărilor arheologice din România, campania 1998*, Vaslui 1999, p.28.
- Bejinariu et al.** **2004** **Bejinariu, I, Băcuet Crişan, S., Pop, H., Băcuet Crişan, D., Matei, Al., V., Andraş, M.**, *Şantierul arheologic Port "Corău"* în *Cronica cercetărilor arheologice din România, campania 2003*, Cluj-Napoca, 2004, p.245-247.
- Bejinariu, Băcuet Crişan** **2007** **Bejinariu, I, Băcuet Crişan, D.**, *Şantierul arheologic Marca "Primăria Nouă"*, în *Cronica cercetărilor arheologice din România, campania 2006*, Tulcea 2007, p.223-224.
- Benea et al.** **2007** **Benea, V. Vandenberghe, D. Timar, A., Haute, P., Cosma, C., Gligor, M., Florescu, C.**, *Luminescence*

- Dating of Neolithic Ceramics from Lumea Nouă, Romania, Geochronometria* 28 2007, 9-16.
- Bennadji et al.** 2008 **Bennadji, F.G., Beneu, B., Laval, J.P.; Blanchart, P.,** *Structural transformations of Muscovite at high temperature by X-ray and neutron diffraction, Applied Clay Science*, 2008, 38, 259-267.
- Bindea** 2003 **Bindea, D.,** *Materialul faunistic de la Zăuan (Jud.Sălaj) aparținând culturii Starčevo-Criș, în R.Mârza, L.Stanciu, Cum scriem istoria? Apelul la științe și dezvoltările metodologice contemporane*, ed. Aeternitas, Alba Iulia, 2003, 49-57.
- Bindea** 2008 **Bindea, D.,** *Arheozoologia Transilvaniei în pre- și protoistorie*, ed. Teognost, Cluj Napoca, 2008.
- Bințișan et al.** 2017 **Bințișan, A., Gligor, M., Dulamă, I.D., Teodorescu, S., R.M. Știrbescu, Rădulescu, C.,** "ATR FTIR and SEM-EDS Analyses of Lumea Nouă Painted Pottery from Alba Iulia-Lumea Nouă Neolithic Site", *Revista de Chimie*, 68/4, 2017, 847-852.
- Bințișan et al.** 2019 **Bințintan, A., Gligor, M., Rădulescu, C., Dulama, I.D., Olteanu, R.L., Teodorescu, S., Știrbescu, R.M., Bucurica, I.A.,** *Multielemental and chemical characterization of Eneolithic Petrești painted pottery from Alba Iulia Lumea Nouă archeological site from Romania in Analytical Letters*, 52(15), 2019, 2348-2364.
- Bințișan et al.** 2019a **Bințintan, A., Gligor, M., Dulama, I.D., Radulescu, C., Stih, C., Ion, R.M., Teodorescu, S., Știrbescu, R.M., Bucurica, I.A., Pehoiu, G.,** *Analysis and structural investigations on early eneolithic Foeni Group painted pottery from Alba Iulia – Lumea Nouă archeological site in Romanian Journal of Physics*, 64(5-6), 2019, 903.
- Bogard** 2025 **Bogard A.,** "Garden agriculture" and the nature of early farming in Europe and the Near East, in *World Archeology*, 37(2), 2025, 177-196.

- Bogdan** 2017 **Bogdan, C.**, Teză de doctorat, *Munții Silvaniei, studiu de geomorfologie comparată și integrată*, Cluj-Napoca, 2017.
- Boghian et al.** 2015 **Boghian, D., Constantin Enea, S., Vasilache, V., Sandu, I.** *Noi considerații referitoare la pigmenții minerali utilizați la pictarea artefactelor ceramice cucuteniene* în Costin Croitoru, George Dan Hânceanu (eds.), *Miscellanea Historica et Archaeologica in Honorem Vasile Ursachi Octogenarii*, Istros, Brăila 2015, 141-164.
- Boghian et al.** 2015a **Boghian, D., Grămăticu, M., Stroe, S.,G., Severin, T.,L., Ignătescu, S.**, *Noi analize arheometrice pe un lot de fragmente ceramice și probe de pigment descoperite în situl cucutenian de la Fetești-”La Schit”*, com. adâncata, jud. Suceava în Costin Croitoru, George Dan Hânceanu (eds.), *Miscellanea Historica et Archaeologica in Honorem Vasile Ursachi Octogenarii*, Istros, Brăila 2015, 113-123.
- Bucurica et al.** 2024 **Bucurica, I.A., Dulama, I.D., Radulescu, C., Banica, A.L., Stanescu, S.G.**, *Heavy Metals and Associated Risks of Wild Edible Mushrooms Consumption: Transfer Factor, Carcinogen Risk Index*, *Journal of Fungi*, **10**(12), 2024, 844.
- Capel et al.** 2006 **Capel, J., Huertas, F., Pozzuoli, A., Linares, J.**, *Red ochre decorations in Spanish Neolithic ceramics: a mineralogical and technological study*, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 2006, 33, 1157-1166.
- Cârstea et al.** 2024 **Cârstea (Elekes) A., Gligor, M., Bucurica, I., A., Dulama, I., D., Radulescu, C., Stirbescu, L., A., Stanescu, S.,G., Gheboianu, A.I.**, *Analysis and structural investigations on Neolithic painted pottery from Suplacu de Barcău/Port „Corău” archeological site*, in *Journal of Science and Arts* Volume 24, Issue 3, 2024, 705-724.
- Cârstea et al.** 2025 **Cârstea (Elekes) A., Gligor, M., Bucurica, I., A., Dulama, I., D., Radulescu, C., Stirbescu, R., M., Banica, L., A., Stanescu, S.,G.**, *Analysis and structural*

investigations on Neolithic painted pottery from Zăuan „Dambul Spanzuraților” archeological site, in Journal of Science and Arts Volume 25, Issue 3, 205, 445-464.

- Cârstea** **2019** **Cârstea (Elekes), A.,** *Ceramica neolitică pictată – Între artă decorativă și funcție practică (I), în Acta Musei Porolossensis, XLI, 2019, 321-330.*
- Cârstea** **2021** **Cârstea (Elekes), A.,** *Ceramica neolitică pictată – Între artă decorativă și funcție practică (II), în Acta Musei Porolossensis, XLI, 2021, 275-284.*
- Cârstea** **2023** **Cârstea (Elekes), A.,** *Ceramica neolitică pictată – Între artă decorativă și funcție practică (II), în Acta Musei Porolossensis, XLV, 2023, 275-284.*
- Chakrabarti** **2023** **Chakrabarti, B., C.,** *Precambrian Geotectonics in the Himalaya—Sans Cenozoic Hangover, Editor(s): B.K. Chakrabarti, Precambrian Geotectonics in the Himalaya, Elsevier, 2023, p. 287-337.*
- Cosma et al.** **2008** **Cosma, C., Vasile, B., Timar, A., Gligor, M., Varvara, S. ,** *”Datarea prin luminiscență stimulată termic(TL) ȘI OPTIC (OSL). Aplicații în arheologie”, în Apulum, 2008, p.579-598.*
- Costin** **1998** **Costin, C.L.,** *Introduction: Craft and Social Identity in Archaeological Papers of the American Antropological Association, 1998, 3-16.*
- Cultrone et al.** **2001** **Cultrone, G., Rodriguez-Navarro, C., Sebastian, E., Cazalla, O., De La Torre, M., J.,** *Carbonate and silicate phase reactions during ceramic firing, în european Journal of mineralogy, 2001, 13(3), 621-634.*
- David, Jacobs** **2014** **David, C., C., Jacobs, D.,J.,** *Principal component analysis a method for determining the essential dynamics of protein, în Methods in Molecular Biology, 1084, 193, 2014.*
- Dumitru et al.** **2011** **Dumitru, I., Fierascu, R., C., Ion, R.,M.,** *”Analytical Methods in Archaeometry: Study of support material”,*

Studii și Cercetări Științifice. Chimie și Inginerie Chimică, Biotehnologii, Industrie Alimentară 12/1 2011, 17-24.

- Dunca** **2013** **Dunca, M.**, *Dovezi ale practicării agriculturii în situl de la Porț"Corău"(Jud. Sălaj)*, în *Acta Musei Porolissensis XXXV*, 2013, 65-72.
- Dunca** **2016** **Dunca M.**, *Organizarea prelucrării pietrei șlefuite de la Porț"Corău": Ateliere? în în Acta Musei Porolissensis, XXVIII*, 2016, 87-93.
- Dunca** **2017** **Dunca, M.**, *"Utilajul litic șlefuit din neoliticul și eneoliticul timpuriu în nord-vestul României: studiu de caz: situl de la Porț-"Corău"*, teză de doctorat, manuscris, Biblioteca Central Universitară Cluj-Napoca.
- Dunca** **2019** **Dunca, M.** *"Descoperiri izolate de utilaj litic șlefuit de pe teritoriul județului Sălaj"*, în *Acta Musei Porolissensis, XLI*, 2019, 29-43.
- Egole et al.** **2024** **Egole, C.P., Medupin, R.O., Nzebuka, G.C., Nnodum, N.A., Ochieze, U.P., Eterigho-Ikelegbe, O., Wilson, U.N., Yoro, K.O.**, *Quartz and feldspar blended clay composites for thermal and structural applications*, in *Results in Materials*, **23**, 2024,100584.
- Farcaș et al.** **2023** **Farcas, I.A., Dippong, T., Petean, I., Moldovan, M., Filip, M.R., Ciotlaus, I., Tudoran, L.B., Borodi, G., Paltinean, G.A., Pripon, E.**, *Material Evidence of Sediments Recovered from Ancient Amphorae Found at the Potaissa Roman Fortress*. *Materials* 2023, 16, 2628.
- Filip et al.** **2025** **Filip, D.D., Gligor, M., Lascu, I.A., Bucurica, I.A., Radulescu, C., Stirbescu, R.M., Dulama, I.D.**, *Non Invasives Techniques for characterizing the pigments applied on wall houses from roman Apulum Romania*, in *Romanian Reports in Physics*, **77(2)**, 2025,803.
- Forte** **2022** **Forte, V.**, *Use activities, wear mechanisms and residues:the use alterations variability on pottery in light of the latest research advancements*, IN Vuković, J., and

- Bikić, V., *Pottery function and use: A diachronic perspective*, Belgrade, 2022,13-35.
- Fransen et al.** **2014** **Fransen, H., May, A.M., Stricker, M.D., Boer, J., Henni, C., Rosseel, Y., Ocke, M., Peeters, P.H.M., Beulens, J.W.J.,** *A posteriori dietary patterns: how many patterns to retain?* in *Journal of Nutrition*, **144** (8), 2014, 1274.
- Gao et al.** **2024** **Gao, J., Yan, Z., Bo, W., Ma, Z., Guo, Y.,** *Preparation of whisker mullite ceramic membrane from coal fly ash for efficient oil-water separation*, *Ceramics International*, 2024,32727-32736.
- Gligor** **2009** **Gligor, M.,** *Așezarea neolitică de la Alba Iulia - Lumea Nouă, în lumina noilor cercetări*, Editura Mega, Cluj – Napoca, 2009.
- Gligor, Băcuet Crișan** **2014** **Gligor, M., Băcuet Crișan, S.,** *Inhumation versus cremation in Transylvanian Neolithic and Eneolithic*, în *Studia Antiqua et Archaeologica XX*, 2014, p.37-67.
- Grămăticu et al.** **2012** **Grămăticu, M., Boghian, D., Severin, T.L., Stroe, G., Ignătescu, S.,** *Ceramographic comparative analysis of a series of painted, incised, undecorated and Cucuteni C Pottery from the cucutenian site of Fetești-La Schit, Adâncata Commune, Succeava County, Romania* în Vasile Cotiugă, Ștefan Caliniuc (eds.), *Interdisciplinarity Reasearch in Archaeology, Proceedings of the First Arheoinvest Congress*, BAR International Series 2433, Archaeopress, Oxford 2012, 229-246.
- Ho, Quinn** **2021** **Ho, J.W.I., Quinn, P.S.** *Intentional clay mixing in the production of traditional and ancient ceramics ad its identification in thin section*, in *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 37, 102945, 2021.
- Holquist** **2006** **Holmquist S.,** *Conversion of Quartz to Tridymite*, *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 44, 2006, 82 - 86.

- Iercoșan** **1994-1995** **Iercoșan, N.**, *Săpături arheologice în așezarea neolitică aparținând culturii Starčevo-Criș de la Tășnad*, în *SC Satu Mare, XI-XII, 1994-1995*, p.9-15.
- Ignat** **1979** **Ignat, D.**, *Așezarea neolitică aparținând culturii Criș de la Fughiu*, în *Crisia, IX, 1979*, p. 521-531.
- Ignat** **1978** **Ignat, D.**, *Așezarea neolitică aparținând culturii Criș de la Suplacu de Barcău*, în *Crisia, VIII, 1978*, p. 721-735.
- Ignat** **1998** **Ignat, D.**, *Grupul cultural Neolitic Suplacu de Barcău*, ed. Mirton, timișoara, 1998.
- Ignat et al.** **2003** **Ignat D., Lakatos, A., Fazecaș, G.**, *Șantierul arheologic Suplacu de Barcău*, în *Cronica cercetărilor arheologice, campania 2002*, Covasna, 2003, p. 309.
- Ionescu et al.** **2015** **Ionescu, C., Hoeck, V., Otis N., Crandell, K. Šarić,** "Burnishing versus smoothing in ceramic surface finishing: a SEM study", *Archaeometry* 57/1, 2015, 18-26
- Ionescu, Hoeck** **2012** **Ionescu, C., Hoeck, V.**, "Mineralogia și chimismul ceramicii Cucuteni de la Ruginoasa" în Cornelia M. Lazarovici, Gheorghe Lazarovici (eds.), *Ruginoasa-Dealul Drăghici. Monografie Aheologică*, Karl A. Romstorfer, Suceava, 2012, 193-208.
- Jakucs, Kovács** **2012** **Jakucs, J., Kovács, S.J.**, *Identification of Middle Neolithic ceramics paintings from north-eastern Hungary and north-western Romania by the means of Fourier Transformation Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)* în Attila Kreiter, Pető Akos, Tugya Beáta (eds.), *Environment-Human-Culture. Dialogue between applied sciences and archaeology*, Centre for National Cultural Heritage, 2012, 307-316.
- Jiali et al.** **2021** **Jiali H., Karin F. J. and Merete T.**, *Phase Transformation in Quartz at Elevated Temperatures. Aspects Min Miner Sci.* 6(1), 2021, 691.
- Jordens et al.** **2016** **Jordens, A., Marion, C., Grammatikopoulos, T., Waters, K.E.**, *Understanding the effect of mineralogy on*

- muscovite flotation using QEMSCAN, International Journal of Mineral Processing*, 155, 2016, 6-12.
- Kaiser** 1974 **Kaiser, H.F.**, *An index of factorial simplicity*, in *Psychometrika*, **39**(1),1974,31-36.
- Kalicz, Raczky** 1984 **Kalicz, N., Raczky, P.**, *Excavation at Berettyóúfalu-Herpály. Part I Neolithic*, *Acta Arch Hung*, 36, 1984, 85-136.
- Kalicz, Raczky** 1987 **Kalicz, N., Raczky, P.**, *The Late Neolithic of the Tisza Region. A survey of recent archaeological research*. IN Talas, L., *The Late Neolithic of the Tisza Region*, Budapest - Szolnok, 1987, 105 - 137.
- Karundasa et al.** 2019 **Karunadasa, K.S.P.; Manoratne, C.H.; Pitawala, H.M.T.G.A.; Rajapakse, R.M.G.**, *Thermal decomposition of calcium carbonate (calcite polymorph) as examined by in-situ high-temperature X-ray powder diffraction*, *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids*, 2019, 134, 21-28.
- Ketrot et al.** 2013 **Ketrot, D., Suddhiprakarn, A., Kheoruenromne, I., Singh, B.**, *Phosphorus and cadmium absorption by red soils and Fe oxide concentrates from Thailand in Thai Journal of Agricultural Science*, **46**(3), 2013,109-117.
- Koch et al.** 2024 **Koch, T.J., Kabaciński, J., Henry, A., Marquebielle, B., Little, A., Stacey R., Regert, M.**, *Chemical analyses reveal dual functionality of Early Mesolithic birch tar at Krzyż, Wielkopolski (Poland)*, *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 57, 2024, 104591.
- Kotsau, Kotsiakis** 2002 **Kotsau, D., Kotsiakis, K., Stern, B.**, *Defining function in Neolithic ceramics, the example of Makryalos, Greece in Documenta Prehistorica*, XXXIX, 2002, p.109-118.
- Kozowyk et al.** 2023 **Kozowyk, P.R.B., Baron, L.I., Langejans, G.H.J.**, *Identifying Palaeolithic birch tar production techniques: challenges from an experimental biomolecular approach*. *Sci Rep* 13, 2023, 14727.

- Lazarovici** **1991** **Lazarovici, Gh.,** *Complexul Cluj-Cheile Turzii-Lumea Nouă-Iclod, voci în cultura Vinča în România*, Timișoara, 1991, p.100-114.
- Lazarovici** **1992** **Lazarovici, Gh.,** *Așezarea neolitică timpurie de la Zăuan și câteva campanii privind neoliticul timpuriu din Balcani*, în *Acta MP*, XVI, 1992, p.25-59.
- Lazarovici** **2009** **Lazarovici, Gh.,** *The Zau Culture*, în Fl. Drașovean, D. Ciubotaru, M. Maddison (eds.), *Ten Yearg after: The Neolithic Of Balkans, As Uncovered By The Last Decade of Research*, timișoara 2009, p.179-217.
- Lazarovici** **2010** **Lazarovici, Gh.,** *Cronologia absolută, relativă și evoluția culturii Zau*, în H.Pop, I.Bejinariu, S.Băcueț Crișan, D.Băcueț Crișan (ed.), *Identități cultural locale și regionale în context european. Studii de arheologie și antropologie istorică*, In Memoriam Alexandru V. Matei, Cluj – Napoca, Editura Mega, 2010, p.55 -71.
- Lazarovici et al.** **2002** **Gheorghe Lazarovici, Corina Ionescu, Lucreția Ghergari,** „Artefacte ceramice din neoliticul mijlociu în Transilvania: *Cultura CCTLNI din stațiunea Zau (jud. Mureș)*”, *Angustia* 7, 2002, 7-18.
- Lazarovici, Láko** **1981** **Lazarovici, Gh., Láko, E.,** *Săpăturile de la Zăuan – campania 1980 și importanța acestor descoperiri pentru neoliticul din nord-vestul României*, în *Acta MN*, XVIII, 1981, p.13-44.
- Lazarovici, Nemeti** **1983** **Lazarovici, Gh., Nemeti, J.,** „*Neoliticul dezvoltat din nord-vestul României (Sălajul, Sătmarul și Chujul)*”, în *Acta Musei Porolisensis VII*, 1983,17-60.
- Lazarovici, Maxim** **1995** **Lazarovici, Gh., Maxim, Z.,** *Gura Baciului, Monografie Arheologică*, BMN, XI, Cluj-Napoca, 1995.
- Lakó** **1978** **Láko, E.,** *Raport de cercetare arheologică efectuată la așezarea neolitică de la Zăuan (jud. Sălaj)* în *Acta Museis Porolissensis, II*, 1978, 11-15.

- D., Băcuet Crișan, D., Sana, D., Șantierul arheologic Porț "Corău", în *Cronica cercetărilor arheologice, campania 2002*, Covasna, 2003, p.246-248.**
- Matei et al. 2004 Matei, Al., V., Pop, h., Băcuet Crișan, D., Andraș, M., Șantierul arheologic Zalău "Dealul Lupului", în *Cronica cercetărilor rheologice, campania 2003*, Cluj-Napoca, 2004, p.375-379.**
- Matei et al. 2005 Matei, Al., Băcuet Crișan, S., Bejinariu, I., Pop, H., Băcuet Crișan, D., Cârstea, A., Șantierul arheologic Pericei "Keller Tag", în *Cronica cercetărilor arheologice din România, campania 2004*, Mangalia, 2005, p.259-262.**
- Maxim 1999 Maxim, Z., *Neo-eneoliticul Transilvaniei*, în *BMN*, XIX, Cluj-Napoca, 1999.**
- Miklos 1978 Miklos, K., *Contribuții noi la cercetarea arheologică a satului Zăuan (jud.Sălaj)*, în *ActaMP*, II, 1978, p. 17-28.**
- Morariu, Sorocovschi 1972 Morariu T., Sorocovschi V., *Județul Sălaj*, Editura Academiei Republicii România, București,1972.**
- Nemeti et al. 2022 Nemeti, S., Cociș, H., Deac, D., A., Nedelea,L., Varga, T., Șantierul arheologice le la Sânmihaiu Almașului "Dealul Bogatului" în *Cronica cercetărilor arheologice din România, campania 2021*, Oradea, 2022, p.321-326.**
- Oancea, Vencea 1987 Oancea, D., Velcea, V.,(coord.)1987, *Geografia României, III*, ed.Acedemiei Republici Socialiste România, București, 1987.**
- Orton et al. 1993 Orton, C., Tyers, P., Vince, A., *Pottery in Archaeology*, Cambridge, University Press Cambridge, 1993.**
- Orton, Huges 2013 Orton, C, Huges, C., *Pottery in Archaeology*, Cambridge, 2013, 262-263.**
- Paltinean et al. 2016 Paltinean, A.G., Petean, I., Arghir, G., Muntean, D.F., Tomoaia-Cotisel, M., *Silicates Fragmentation a Source of Atmosphere Dispersed Nano—Particulate Matter*. *Revista de Chimie*, 67, 2016, 1118–1123.**

- Petri** 1900 **Petri, M.**, *Szilágy vármegye monográfiája*, Budapest, 1900.
- Pop et al.** 2000 **Pop, H., Băcuet Crișan, S., Băcuet Crișan, D.**, *Șantierul arheologic Pericei "Keller Tag"*, în *Cronica cercetărilor arheologice, campania 1999*, Deva, 2000, 73-74.
- Pop et al.** 2006 **Pop, H., Bejinariu, I., Băcuet Crișan, S., Băcuet Crișan, D., Sana, D., Csók, Zs.**, *Șimleu Silvaniei Monografie Arheologică*, ed. Mega, Cluj Napoca, 2006.
- Pop, Marchiș** 2010 **H. Pop, I. Marchiș**, *Șantierul arheologic Șimleul Silvaniei „Tudor Vladimirescu”* în *Cronica cercetărilor arheologice din România, campania 2009*, 2010, 214–215.
- Pop, Băcuet Crișan** 2001 **Pop, H., Băcuet Crișan, S.**, *Șantieul arheologic Halmășd*, în *Cronica cercetărilor arheologice din România, campania 2000*, Suceava, 2001, p.97.
- Popescu** 2014 **Popescu, P.**, *Aplicații ale metodelor spectrale de analiza în caracterizarea ceramicii arheologice pictate cu negru*, în *Sargeția SN, V, (XLI)*, 2014, p. 433-446
- Popescu et al.** 2012 **Popescu, P.G., Enache-Preoteasa, C., Badea, F.D., Pripon, E., Maganu, M.**, *GC-MS Spectroscopy as Valuable Tool for the Study of Archaeological Ceramics*, în *Revista de Chimie*, 63, no.5, 2012, 470-474.
- Raczky et al.** 2020 **Raczky, P., Fuzesi, A., Sebők, K., Farago, N., Csippan, P., Anders, A.**, *A Special House from the Late Neolithic Tell Settlement of Berettyóújfalu-Herpály (Hungary)*, IN Stephan W. - Blum, E. – Turan, E. – Kienlin, T. L. – Pernicka, E., *Studia Troica, From Past to Present*, Studies in Memory of Manfred O. Korfmann, Bonn, 2020, 429-458.
- Raczky, Kovacs** 2009 **Raczky P., Kovacs J.S.**, *Festekanyag es szervesedenybevonat elemiszerk alföldi kesoneolitikus diszkeramiakon (az első viysgalati eredmenyek)*, *Medinától Etéig*, Szentes 2009, 139-145.
- Ratnet et al.** 2009 **Ratner, B.**, The correlation coefficient: *Its values range*

- p.197-217.
- Schwedt et al.** **2004** **Schwedt, a., Mommsen, H., Zacharias, N.,** *Post Depositional Elemental Alternations in Pottery: Neutron Activation Analyses of Surface and Core Samples in Archeometry*, 46 (1), 2004, p.85-101.
- Shoval et al.** **2016** **Shoval, S., Gilboa, A.,** *PXRF analyses of pigments in decorations of ceramics in the East Mediteranean: A test case on Cypro-Geometric and cypro archaic bicrome ceramics at Tel-Dor Israel*, in *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 7, 2016, 472-479.
- Skibo** **1992** **Skibo, J., M.,** *Pottery Function: a use alternation perspective*, Springer Science and Business Media, 1992.
- Skibo** **2013** **Skibo, J.M.,** *Understanding Pottery Function, Manuals in archaeological method, theory and technique*, New York, 2013.
- Skibo, Schiffer** **2008** **Skibo, J.M., Schiffer, M. B.,** *People and Things: A Behavioral Approach to Material Culture*, Springer, New York, 2008.
- Tămășan et al.** **2009** **Tămășan, M., Mocuță, H., Ionescu, C., Simon, V.,** *Structural Changes induced in mineral clays by high temperature heat treatments*”, în *Studia Universitatis Babeș-Bolyai. Phisica*. LIV/2 (2009), 3-8.
- Tomus et al.** **2021** **Tomus (Szabo), D.E., Gligor, M., Dulama, I.D., Radulescu, C., Bucurica, I.A., Stanescu, S.G., Stîrbescu, R.M.,** *Archaeometric Analyses on Precucuteni type pottery from Transylvania, Romania, case study Alba Iulia Luma Nouă* in *Journal of Science and Arts*, 21(1), 2021, 285-386.
- Uda et al.** **1999** **Uda, M., Akiyoshi, Nakamura, M.,** *Characterization of Ancient Chinese Pottery decorated with black pigment, în nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics. Research Section B:Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 150 (1-4), 1999, 601-604.

- Varvara et al.** **2008** **Varvara, S., Fabbri, B., Gualtieri, S., Ricciardi, P., Gligor, M.,** *Archeometric characterization of the Neolithic pottery discovered at Alba Iulia-Lumea Nouă archaeological site, Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai - Series Chimia*, **LIII(1)**, 2008, 5-13.
- Velraj et al.** **2009** **Velraj, G., Janaki, K., Mohamed Musthafa, A., Palanivel, R.,** *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, **72(4)**, 2009, 730-733.
- Velraj et al.** **2015** **Velraj, G., Tamilarasu, S., Ramya, R.,** *FTIR, XRD and SEM-EDX Studies of archeological pottery samples from recently excavated site in Tamil Nadu India, Materials Today: Proceedings*, **2(3)**, 2015, 934.
- Virag** **2004** **Virag, C.,** *Cercetări arheologice la Urziceni-Vamă, Acta Musei Porolisensis XXVI*, 2004, 41–76.
- Virag** **2015** **Virag, C.,** *Situl neolitic de la Halmeu-Vamă*, ed. Muzeului Sătmărean, Satu Mare, 2015.
- Vlase et al.** **2022** **Vlase, D., Vlase, T., Bunoiu, M., Sfirloaga, P., Ursuț, G.,** *Characteristics of Late Neolithic pottery of the zau Culture: analysis of pottery samples from Iernut-Site II (Mureș County România) în Journal of Thermal analysis and Calorimetry*, **147(9)**, 5351-5363.
- Vlase, et al.** **2023** **Vlase, D., Vlase, G., Ursuț, G., Sfirloaga, P., Manea, F., Budiul, M.; Rotaru, A.; Vlase, T.,** *The in-depth study of Romanian prehistoric ceramics: Late Neolithic/Eneolithic pottery and clay materials from the Foeni Tell-Orthodox cemetery in Timiș county, Ceramics International*, **2023**, **49**, 1494.
- Vuković, Bikić** **2022** **Vuković, J., Bikić, V.,** *Pottery Function in the Archaeology of the Continental Balkans: An Overview in Vuković, J. - Bikić, V., Pottery function and use: A diachronic perspective*, Belgrade, 2022, 37 -66.
- Yan** **2021** **Yan, B., Liu, S., Chastain, M.L., Yang, S., Chen, J.,** *A new FTIR method for estimating firing temperature of*

ceramic bronye casting moulds from Early China,
Scientific Reports, 11, 2021, 3316.